1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13 14

1516

1718

19 20

2223

21

2425

26

2728

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

ALVIN MOZCO RODRIGUEZ,

Petitioner,

VS.

D.W. NEVEN, et al.,

Respondents.

OF NEVADA

2:12-cv-00831-KJD-RJJ

ORDER

Petitioner has submitted an application (#1) to proceed *in forma pauperis* and a habeas petition.

The matter has not been properly commenced because the pauper application does not include the required attachments. Under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(2) and Local Rule LSR 1-2, petitioner must attach both an inmate account statement for the past six months and a properly executed financial certificate. Petitioner attached neither.

The application therefore will be denied, and the present action will be dismissed without prejudice to the filing of a new petition in a new action with a pauper application with all required attachments. It does not appear from the papers presented that a dismissal without prejudice would result in a promptly-filed new petition being untimely.¹ In this regard,

¹The papers on file and the online docket records of the state courts reflect the following.

On February 25, 2010, Alvin Rodriguez was convicted, pursuant to a guilty plea, of second-degree kidnapping. Petitioner did not appeal, and the time for doing so expired on Monday, March 29, 2010. Less (continued...)

petitioner at all times remains responsible for calculating the running of the federal limitation period as applied to his case, properly commencing a timely-filed federal habeas action, and properly exhausting his claims in the state courts.

IT THEREFORE IS ORDERED that the application (#1) to proceed *in forma pauperis* is DENIED and that this action shall be DISMISSED without prejudice to the filing of a new petition in a new action with a properly completed pauper application.

IT FURTHER IS ORDERED that all pending motions are DENIED without prejudice.

IT FURTHER IS ORDERED that a certificate of appealability is DENIED. Reasonable jurists would not find the dismissal of the improperly-commenced action without prejudice debatable or wrong.

The Clerk of Court shall send petitioner two copies each of an application form to proceed *in forma pauperis* for incarcerated persons and a noncapital Section 2254 habeas petition form, one copy of the instructions for each form, and a copy of the papers that he submitted in this action.

The Clerk shall enter final judgment accordingly, dismissing this action without prejudice.

DATED: May 25, 2012

DATED. May 23, 2012

KENT J. DAWSON

United States District Judge

¹(...continued)

than four months later, on or about July 16, 2010, petitioner filed a motion to amend the judgment of conviction to receive jail time credits. The Supreme Court of Nevada affirmed the denial of the motion on February 9, 2011. Meanwhile, on or about September 17, 2010, petitioner filed a state post-conviction petition. The Supreme Court of Nevada affirmed the denial of the petition, with the remittitur issuing on February 7, 2012. Meanwhile, on or about February 3, 2012, petitioner filed a motion for modification of sentence. His appeal from the denial of the motion remains pending at this time.

Accordingly, there have been state court proceedings challenging petitioner's conviction or sentence pending continuously from on or about July 16, 2010, through the present. Even if this Court *arguendo* were to disregard the state proceedings on all but the state post-conviction petition, petitioner would have sufficient time left in the federal limitation period to file a properly-commenced and still-timely new federal action